OCULAR SURFACE FOREIGN BODIES: THEIR INCIDENCE, CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND DEMOGRAPHIC PATTERNS
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ABSTRACT

Background: Ocular surface foreign body (OSFB) is the most common and preventable eye injury. It is the leading cause of ocular morbidity and utilization of ophthalmic services.

Objective: To study the incidence and demographic patterns of ocular surface foreign bodies (OSFB) and their correlation with location in eye and preventive measures taken to avoid them.

Methods: This is retrospective cross-sectional study conducted at Eye Department, DHQ Teaching Hospital, Gujranwala from 1st January 2020 to 31st December 2020 (12 months). After obtaining informed consent and IRB approval, demographic data of patients presenting with OSFB was collected. Type, location and laterality of OSFB were noted, foreign bodies were removed with 27-gauge hypodermic needle. Patients were inquired about use of protective equipment. Data was saved on excel sheets and analyzed on SPSS v. 25.0.

Results: Three hundred and twenty-nine patients were included in study out of which 294 (89.3%) were males and 35 (10.7%) females. OSFB were present in right eyes in 149 (45.3%), left in 170 (51.6%) and bilateral in 10 (3.1%) patients. Corneal foreign bodies were present in 220 (66.9%) patients, upper tarsal foreign bodies in 64 (19.4%) followed by scleral, lower fornical, medial canthal and lower lid foreign bodies collectively present in 24 (7.4%) patients. Metallic OSFB were found in 252 (76.6%), dust particles in 36 (10.9%) and “miscellaneous” (stones, paint particles, glass pieces and lashes) in 16 (4.9%) individuals. Only 48 (14.5%) people used protective measures at their workplace.

Conclusion: Ocular surface foreign bodies are more common in males and most commonly involve superficial cornea. Most of the times, they are metallic particles in origin and people scarcely use any protective equipment. With proper awareness, their incidence can be reduced significantly.
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INTRODUCTION

Ocular surface foreign body (OSFB) is the most common and preventable eye injury.1 It is the leading cause of ocular morbidity and utilization of ophthalmic services.2

According to World Health Organization, annual incidence of ocular trauma is approximately 55 million out of which ocular injuries account for 5% to 16%. Approximately 1.6 million go blind from ocular injuries, additionally about 2.3 million people present with bilateral low vision and almost 19 million with unilateral low vision resulting from ocular trauma.3 Ocular surface foreign bodies cause extreme discomfort and if not appropriately managed may lead to permanent visual loss.4 Ocular surface foreign bodies are encountered at working places, playing or sports areas,
road traffic accidents, assaults or even at domestic works.\(^5\)

Ocular surface foreign bodies range from eye lashes, dust particles, sand particles, metal particles, insect wings, paint particles.\(^6\) It gives redness, watering, foreign body sensation and pain in eye.\(^7\) Ocular surface foreign bodies are graded as mild according to ocular trauma classification based on the severity of injury.\(^8\) Ocular surface foreign bodies, if not timely managed can lead to conjunctivitis, Keratitis, corneal ulceration and even perforation.\(^9\) Iron foreign bodies lead to rust ring formation.\(^10\) Due to worldwide modernization and use of high-speed machinery, incidence of such injuries is very high even with use of safety measures.\(^11\) The injuries represent the most common preventable cause of monocular blindness.\(^12\)

Identification of the type of foreign bodies and their location of impaction in eye will help in creating awareness and use of appropriate eye protective devices.\(^13\)

The objective was to study the incidence and demographic patterns of ocular surface foreign bodies (OSFB) and their correlation with location in eye and preventive measures taken to avoid them.

**METHODS**

It was a hospital based retrospective cross-sectional study done at Ophthalmology department, District Headquarters Hospital, Gujranwala for one year from 1st January 2020 to 31st December 2020. Approval from hospital ethical review board was taken. Verbal consent from all the patients was also taken. All the patients presented in Ophthalmology emergency were enrolled in the study. Demographic data like age, gender, nature of trauma, occupation and the activity at time of injury were recorded. All patients were examined on slit lamp in detail. Patients were instilled topical anesthetic drops 0.5% proparacaine hydrochloride. Foreign bodies were removed using 27-gauge needle. Topical antibiotics drops were advised for 5 days. The objective was to study the incidence and demographic patterns of ocular surface foreign bodies (OSFB) and their correlation with location in eye and preventive measures taken to avoid them.

**RESULTS**

A total of 329 patients were included in this study, out of which 294 (89.3%) were males and 35 (10.7%) were females. (Table 1) OSFB were found to be present in right eyes of 149 (45.3%), in left eyes of 170 (51.6%) and bilateral in 10 (3.1%) patients. (Table 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Number (n)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>89.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Single OSFB was present in 241 (73.2%) patients while 88 (26.8%) patients had multiple OSFB. It was also noted that 203 (61.7%) patients presented in hot weather (April to October) while only 120 (38.3%) patients presented in cold months (November to March).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laterality</th>
<th>Number (n)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Location of OSFB also differed vastly with calculated corneal foreign bodies being most common in 220 (66.9%) patients, followed by upper tarsal foreign bodies in 64 (19.4%). Calculated scleral, lower fornical, medial canthal and lower lid foreign bodies (collectively labeled as “miscellaneous”) were present in 24 (7.4%) patients. (Table 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of FB</th>
<th>Number (n)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corneal</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>66.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Tarsus</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbus</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctiva</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different types of OSFB ranged from most common variety such as metallic in 252 (76.6%) to dust particles in 36 (10.9%) and “miscellaneous” (stones, paint particles, glass pieces and lashes) in 16 (4.9%) individuals. (Figure 1) Only 48 (14.5%) patients used any kind of protective equipment like glasses, shields etc. while rest of 281 (85.5%) did not use any kind of protective eye wear.

Figure 1: Types of Calculated OSFB
Ocular surface foreign bodies are the most common cause of ocular discomfort and subsequent presentation to seek medical advice. They can be either superficial or deep (intra-ocular) with the latter being more dangerous as intraocular foreign bodies (IOFB) can cause immediate (e.g., infection, cataract, retinal detachment) as well as late (chalcosis, osiderosis, sympathetic ophthalmia) complications. Ocular surface foreign bodies, although more common, have less risk of long term complications as compared to IOFB if properly and promptly managed.

Our study showed the total annual presentation of 329 patients with OSFB. There was a male predominance with male to female ratio being almost 9:1. Fei Sun et al. also gave similar higher incidence in males. Increased male incidence is due to exposure of men to outdoor activities like sports, traffic accidents, heavy work, alteration and alcohol intake. Fei Sun et al. also reported the higher incidence of OSFB in summer season (July-August). Our study supported this speculation as 61.7% of our study population presented in hot weather (April to October) reason being increased outdoor exposure with long working hours and climatic changes with tendency for strong winds.

Our study showed a unique prospective about laterality of OSFB. Left sided foreign bodies were present in 51.6%, right sided in 45.3% and bilateral in 3.1%. Bahoo et al. reported a very similar pattern as left sided in 50%, right in 48% and bilateral in 2%.

Corneal foreign bodies made the bulk of OSFB in our study in 220 (66.4%) patients. Most of authors documented this. Bahoo et al. in his another publication wrote incidence of corneal foreign bodies as high as 40.2 % in his study. He also reported upper tarsal foreign bodies at 27.9 % as second most common location same as in our study.

Metallic OSFB were most common type of foreign body in our study in 76.6% patients followed by dust particles in 10.9% patients. Bahoo et al also wrote metallic particles as most common type of OSFB in 27.1% patients. Dass et al. also documented metallic foreign bodies in 34.8% of his study population as leading causes of OSFB.

Only 14.5% individuals used protective eye wear in our study exactly same as Fei Sun et al. (14.7%). Bahoo et al. reported use of protective eye wear in 9.3% while Jahangir T et al. documented that a large number of such injuries could be well prevented by use of such protective measures including glasses, helmets and eye shields etc. The main limitation of this study is single center study with a small sample size. We recommend a multicentric study with larger sample size. We did not consider the profession and circumstances of injuries and also it is not population-based study and hence does not truly represent the incidence and prevalence of OSFB in our population.

CONCLUSION
Ocular surface foreign bodies are more common in male population especially in working group and most commonly involve superficial cornea. Most of the times, they are metallic particles in origin and people hardly use any protective equipment. Owing to the nature and types of these foreign bodies, their incidence can be reduced significantly with proper awareness strategies particularly emphasizing on use of protective measures like helmets while driving, protective goggles at workplace and strong supervision of children while playing especially outdoor.
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