

## COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ANTIBIOTICS, TOOTHPASTE AND PLANT EXTRACTS AGAINST BACTERIAL PATHOGENS ISOLATED FROM HUMAN GUMS.

AZRA<sup>1</sup>, ASGHAR JAVAID<sup>2</sup>, ANEEQA NAZ<sup>3</sup>, MUHAMMAD AL-FAREED ZAFAR<sup>4</sup>,  
ZEESHAN NAWAZ<sup>5</sup>, MAHREEN UL HASSAN<sup>6</sup>, AMBREEN ARIF<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences (IBMS), Khyber Medical University Peshawar, <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, Nishtar Medical University Multan, <sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, Nishtar Medical University Multan, <sup>4</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Postgraduate Medical Institute/Ameer-ud-Din Medical College/Lahore General Hospital, Lahore, <sup>5</sup>Government College university Faisalabad, <sup>6</sup>Faculty of life sciences, Abasyn University Peshawar, <sup>7</sup>Research Centre Khyber Medical College, Peshawar

### ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to find out the effectiveness of some antibiotics, toothpastes, and medicinal plant extracts against the bacterial species isolated from human gums. A total of 125 samples were collected. The male and female patients were with the age from 15-60 years. A total of 27 species were isolated and identified. Among those bacterial species, *Streptococcus mutans* was most prevalent 29.62%, followed by *Lactobacillus acidophilus* 22.22%, *Streptococcus sobrinus* 18.51% and *S aureus* 14.81% respectively. While *Micrococcus* spp. 3.7%, *Streptococcus sanguinis* 3.7%, *Actinomyces viscosus* 3.7% and *Aeromonas* were least prevalent. To observe the most effective treatment for gum infections, the isolated strains were subjected to the sensitivity tests against antibiotics, toothpastes and plant extracts. The maximum zone of inhibition formed by Sulfamethoxazole was found against *Staph aureus* 33mm, while the minimum zone by Amoxicillin was observed against *Streptococcus sobrinus* (6mm). The maximum zone of inhibition by Toothpaste 1 (Fluoride and salt) was against *Micrococcus* spp. 34mm. The maximum zone of inhibition by *Curcuma longa* against *S. mutans* was 22mm, while the minimum zone by *Ajuga bracteosa* against *S. mutans* was 11mm. The data obtained through this study revealed that antibiotics were more effective for the treatment of oral bacterial pathogens as compared to toothpaste and plant extracts with moderate and low activity, respectively. Therefore, it is strongly suggested that use of antibiotics during infection and toothpaste with fluoride and salt contents in daily routine could help in the elimination of bacterial pathogens to maintain oral health.

**Keywords:** *Ajuga bracteosa*, *Curcuma longa*, Toothpaste, Antibiotics

**How to cite this article:** Azra, Javaid A, Naz A, Zafar MAF, Nawaz Z, Hassan MU. Comparative study of antibiotics, toothpaste and plant extracts against bacterial pathogens isolated from human gums. Pak Postgrad Med J 2021;32(1):41-47

---

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51642/ppmj.v32i01.442>

Correspondence to: Aneeqa Naz,  
Senior Demonstrator  
Department of Pathology,  
Multan Medical and Dental College Multan,  
Pakistan.

Email: [aneeqahayaan@gmail.com](mailto:aneeqahayaan@gmail.com)

### INTRODUCTION

Microbial investigations have revealed that diverse array (trillions) of microorganisms resides on different parts of the body. One such favorable place for these microbes is the human mouth. (Prasad et al., 2007). The

human oral cavity possesses a microbial population which is very complex and distinctive. The oral microbes have direct relationships with other microorganisms and with the human host in niche-specific associations. The disruption of homeostasis that ensures a balanced microbial composition or dysbacteriosis can contribute to numerous oral infections, notably dental caries and gum diseases (Balachandran et al., 2020).

According to Human oral microbiome database (HOMD), there are 150 genera of microorganisms identified as common causes of oral diseases among them *Streptococcus*, *Haemophilus*, *Actinomyces*, and *Prevotella* have higher abundance than any other genera. (Gao et al., 2018). More than 700 microbial species have been found to be present inside a human oral cavity by non-culturing technique. Most of the bacteria of the oral cavity are benign and like in other parts of the body; they are in a symbiotic relationship. However, few can cause many gum diseases. Due to changes in home environmental conditions, though, the mucosal surface microbiota shows compositional differences with that present in dental plaque (Zaura et al., 2015).

Among other various identified bacteria species from the oral cavity, *Lactobacillus* and *Streptococcus* spp. are the dominant one. The most common opportunistic pathogen among all is *Streptococcus mutans* (Burton et al., 2011; Gamboa et al., 2004). However, *Staphylococcus aureus* is a significant pathogen prefer mouth and hands as main reservoirs for propagation and colonize than other several anatomical sites in the human body (Tanomaru et al., 2008).

*Streptococcus mutans* is the major cause of tooth decay is a Gram-positive, non-spore forming, catalase-negative, non-motile, facultative anaerobic cocci (David et al., 2011). The Mutans streptococci include a group of seven species, of which *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sobrinus* are the principal species obtained from saliva and plaque of human (Loesche et al., 1986). Prevention of dental caries can be achieved by proper and regular tooth brushing and rinsing with mouth rinses containing antibacterial agent such as chlorhexidine and sodium hypochlorite (Chany et al., 2001).

The antibiotics such as penicillin, amoxicillin, ampicillin, erythromycin tetracycline and chloramphenicol are used dental treatment caries (Al-Haroni et al., 2007). The antibiotics for the prevention of dental caries are not recommended since there is a risk of developing bacterial resistance. The xylitol sugar has inhibited the growth of *Streptococcus mutans* and not used as an energy source by the cariogenic bacteria, and acid production is reduced (Lynch, H et al., 2003). While dental biofilm cannot be eradicated, it can be minimized and managed through daily oral care and a routine

mechanical oral hygiene procedure (Gurenlian et al., 2007). *Streptococcus mutans* that is the major cause of tooth decay is Gram positive, no spore formation, no catalase, non-motile, facultative anaerobic bacteria (David et al., 2011). The *S. Mutans* include seven species group, of which *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sobrinus* are the principal ones obtained from saliva of the patients and plaque of human (Loesche et al., 1986). To prevent dental caries regular tooth brushing and periodic use of mouth washes having antibacterial mediators e.g., chlorhexidine and sodium hypochlorite. (Chany et al., 2001). Antibiotics frequently used to treat dental caries are penicillin, amoxicillin, ampicillin, erythromycin tetracycline and chloramphenicol (Al-Haroni et al., 2007). To prevent dental caries antibiotics are not suggested, as there is risk factor involved to obtain bacterial resistance. The xylitol (substitute sugar) has tendency to inhibit the growth of *S. mutans* and interestingly do not consumed by the cariogenic bacterial species and also reduce acid production (Lai et al., 2012). While risk of dental biofilm production can never be eliminated, it can only be decreased and maintained by regular oral care along with repetitive mechanical means of oral hygiene practices (Gurenlian et al., 2007). The purpose of this research study was to identify bacteria responsible for gums diseases in the Pakistani population and to analyze their susceptibility against various antibiotics, popular toothpaste brands containing fluoride and plant extracts which were thought to be effective against many diseases for centuries.

## METHODS

This experimental study was conducted at Nistar Medical University and Microbiology Department of Abasyn University Peshawar from Mar 15, 2020, to Jun 15, 2020. A total of 125 samples were collected from indoor patients at Nistar and Alflah Dentistry Departments, Pakistan. Samples were collected using sterile swabs under standard microbiological practices. The samples were processed within 05 hours after collection and streaked on Nutrient agar plates which were subjected to incubation for 24 hours at 37 °C. After incubation, mixed colonies were obtained on each plate. The colonies were picked and purified by repeated sub-culturing for specific bacterial growth and used differential media (i.e. Blood Agar, Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA), MacConkey Agar and Chocolate Agar) for the growth of bacteria species. Further identification of the pure colonies was made by using Gram Staining and other biochemical tests such as Oxidase, Indole, TSI, Citrate, Urease and Catalase. (Ilyas et al., 2016). Characterization on the basis of Antibiotic, Toothpaste and Plant Extract Sensitivities

**Antibiotic Sensitivity:** For Antibiotic Sensitivity testing, Kirby Baur disc diffusion test was performed according to the CLSI guidelines. Amoxicillin (5µg), sulfamethoxazole (25µg), oxacillin (5µg), vancomycin (30µg), moxifloxacin (5µg), amoxicillin (30µg), ampicillin (10µg), azithromycin (15µg) were selected to check the susceptibility as described by (Abdulhaq et al., 2020).

**Toothpaste Sensitivity:** For Toothpaste Sensitivity testing agar well diffusion method was used. Three different concentrations of each toothpaste were made at full strength (50 mg) and 1:1 dilution. The fresh cultures from the sample were taken in broth, and the turbidity was made with McFarland Standards. The zone of inhibition around the toothpaste containing wells showed the antimicrobial activity against the bacteria. The maximum zone formed by toothpaste against bacteria were considered as sensitive toothpaste while medium zone formed against bacteria were considered intermediate toothpaste and no zone of inhibition showed the resistance of bacteria to that particular toothpaste.

**Plant Extract Sensitivity:** For plant extract sensitivity testing well diffusion method was used. The fresh cultures from the sample were taken and tested against *A. bracteosa* and *C. longa* extracts. Leaf, Stem and Roots of *A. bracteosa* and entire plant of *C. longa* were used in crude form and diluted with Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO) according to standard procedure. The zone of inhibition around the wells showed the antimicrobial activity against the bacteria. The maximum zone of inhibition formed by plant extract against bacteria was considered as sensitive, intermediate and resistant to a particular plant extract. The plant extract was used to detect the plant extract susceptibility pattern of *Streptococcus*

*mutans*, *Staph aureus*, *Streptococcus sobrinus* and *Lactobacillus acidophilus*.

**Statistical Analysis:** Mean antimicrobial activities of plant extracts were calculated against each bacterium with 95% Confidence Interval. Pearson Correlation between dose and anti-bacterial activity of plant extracts was calculated with consideration of P=0.05 with 95% Confidence Interval. All the analyses were carried through GraphPad Prism (Version 5.0) software.

## RESULTS

From 125 infected samples, 27 pure species were obtained. The data indicates the percentage of bacterial isolates from teeth gum of patients. Eight different types of bacteria, i.e. *Streptococcus mutans*, *Staph aureus*, *Streptococcus sobrinus*, *Lactococcus acidophilus*, *Micrococcus*, *Streptococcus sanguinis* and *Aeromonas* species, were identified. *Streptococcus mutans* was isolated in the highest number (29.62%), followed by *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (22.22%), *Streptococcus sobrinus* (18.51%) and *S aureus* (14.81%) respectively. While *Micrococcus* spp. 3.70%, *Streptococcus sanguinis* 3.70%, *Actinomyces viscosus* 3.70% and *Aeromonas* 3.70% were present at the lowest percentage.

**Antibiotic Sensitivity Test:** In this study, the identified eight species antibiogram patterns were analyzed against eight different antibiotics. Among all used antibiotic SXT (sulfamethoxazole) showed maximum effect against all tested bacterial strains. The maximum zone of inhibition was produced against *Staph aureus* (33mm) while the minimum zone was observed against *S. sobrinus* (23mm). The most effective antibiotics were MXF (Moxifloxacin), FOX (Foxicillin), and AMC (Amoxicillin).

**Table 1:** Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of Isolated bacterial species from Teeth Gums.

Microorganisms	FOX (mm)	SXT (mm)	OX (mm)	VA (mm)	MXF (mm)	AMC (mm)	AMP (mm)	AZM (mm)
<i>Staph aureus</i>	21	33	R	R	30	13	R	10
<i>Streptococcus mutans</i>	18	26	22	20	29	16	10	R
<i>Streptococcus sobrinus</i>	15	23	R	22	23	6	R	10
<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i>	21	29	19	23	28	19	18	17
<i>Micrococcus</i> spp.	30	31	20	21	31	20	19	20
<i>Streptococcus sanguinis</i>	30	25	18	22	30	18	18	14
<i>Actinomyces viscosus</i>	22	32	12	21	29	19	23	9
<i>Aeromonas</i>	32	28	18	18	31	21	22	19

## TOOTHPASTE SENSITIVITY TEST:

The diluted and undiluted form of kinds of toothpaste revealed that antimicrobial activity was at full strength in undiluted form by showing the high

number of antimicrobial agents and having linear reduction with the decrease of dilution. Overall, toothpaste 1 contained high amount of Fluoride and salt is diluted, and non-diluted form showed a good effect

against all tested bacterial strains. The non-diluted one showed good activity against *Micrococcus* spp (34mm) while the minimum zone was seen against *S. aureus* (21mm). The maximum activity of diluted one was observed against *Micrococcus* spp. And *S. mutans*

(26mm) by Toothpaste 2 had active ingredients Calcium and Fluoride while the minimum zone was produced against *Actinomyces viscosus* (14mm). Overall tooth paste 3 had calcium as active ingredient was moderate in action.

Table 2: Kinds of toothpaste sensitivities against isolated bacterial species from teeth gums

Microorganisms	Toothpaste, 1		Toothpaste, 2		Toothpaste, 3	
	Diluted	Non-Diluted	Diluted	Non-Diluted	Diluted	Non-Diluted
<i>Staph aureus</i>	22	26	21	26	19	22
<i>Streptococcus mutans</i>	17	21	26	30	19	25
<i>Streptococcus sobrinus</i>	16	28	23	27	16	21
<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i>	15	26	22	27	20	22
<i>Micrococcus</i> spp.	16	34	26	29	21	26
<i>Streptococcus sanguinis</i>	15	24	25	27	19	21
<i>Actinomyces viscosus</i>	14	29	19	22	17	21
<i>Aeromonas</i> spp.	16	24	21	23	18	23

**Plant extracts Sensitivity test:** The methanolic extract of *Ajuga bracteosa* was used separately (leaf, root and stem) against tested bacterial strains. Leaf extract produced a maximum zone against *Streptococcus mutans* (22mm) while the minimum zone

of inhibition was against *Streptococcus sobrinus* (12mm). The methanolic extract of *C. longa* produced a maximum zone of inhibition against *Streptococcus mutans* (22mm) while the minimum activity was observed against *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (15mm).

Table 3: Antimicrobial activity of the plant extracts against bacterial species isolated from gums.

Plant Extracts	<i>Streptococcus mutans</i>	<i>Staph aureus</i>	<i>Streptococcus sobrinus</i>	<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i>	<i>Aeromonas</i> spp.
<i>Ajuga bracteosa</i> leaf	20	17	12	16	15
<i>Ajuga bracteosa</i> root	19	15	15	13	12
<i>Ajuga bracteosa</i> stem	11	19	15	14	16
<i>Curcuma longa</i>	22	21	16	15	18

**Statistical analysis for the antimicrobial activity against Plant extracts:** After getting the antimicrobial activity of plant extracts against isolated bacteria, the results were further subjected to statistical analyses. Pearson Correlation between dose and anti-bacterial activity of plant extracts with consideration of 0.05 P value and 95% confidence interval was calculated. The analysis revealed that *Ajuga bracteosa* leaf extract was merely significant against *Strep. pyrogens* (p=0.0101), while other bacteria showed no significance at all. *Curcuma longa* was found to be significant only against *K. pneumoniae* (p= 0.9627) out of seven other bacterial isolates. Whereas *Curcuma longa* showed no significance against any of the bacterial strains under study.

## DISCUSSION

It is well studied that the invasion of many bacteria in the oral cavity may cause various bacterial infections.

Therefore, it is incredibly essential to allow early diagnosis of various pathogenic potential microbes. The identified bacteria and their strength in an oral environment could be helpful to predict the accurate progression of various periodontal diseases (Srivastava et al., 2020).

The present study was conducted to identify various bacterial strains responsible for gum disease in the Pakistani population. The study was also aimed to check the antimicrobial activity of some antibiotics usually prescribed by dentists, including a few popular toothpaste brands and two plant extracts believed to be effective against certain diseases. In this study, patients of different age group having primary, mixed and permanent dentition have participated. Both genders were included in the study; however, we have found no gender-specific bacteria.

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ANTIBIOTICS, TOOTHPASTE AND PLANT EXTRACTS

Table 4: Antimicrobial activity of the plant extracts against bacterial species isolated from gums.

Bacterial strains	Zone of inhibition in millimeter (mm) regarding dose (E= mg)				Mean activity with 95% CI in mm	Standard Deviation	Pearson Correlation b/w Dose & Activity (P= 0.05)	R Square r <sup>2</sup>	
	E <sub>1</sub> (50mg)	E <sub>2</sub> (100mg)	E <sub>3</sub> (150mg)	E <sub>4</sub> (200mg)					
	Ajuga bracteosa leaf	Strepto. mutans	14	14.2					14.2
	Staph aureus	11	11	11.1	11.1	11.05±0.09	0.0577	P=0.1056 (Not Significant)	0.8000
	Strepto. sobrinus	06	6.1	6.3	6.4	6.2000±6.20	0.1826	P=0.0101 (Not Significant)	0.9800
	Lactococcus acidophilus	10	10	10.1	10.1	10.05±0.092	0.0577	P=0.1056 (Not Significant)	0.8000
	Aeromonas spp.	09	9.1	9.3	9.3	9.175±0.239	0.1500	P=0.0533 (Not Significant)	0.8000
	Strepto. mutans	13	13.2	13.4	13.5	13.28±0.360	0.2217	P=0.0102 (Not Significant)	0.9797
	Staph aureus	09	09	9.1	9.1	9.050±0.092	0.0577	P=0.1056 (Not Significant)	0.8000
Ajuga bracteosa root	Strepto. sobrinus	09	9.1	9.1	9.2	9.100±0.130	0.0816	P=0.0513 (Not Significant)	0.9000
	Lactococcus acidophilus	07	07	07	7.1	7.025±0.080	0.0500	P=0.2254 (Not Significant)	0.6000
	Aeromonas spp.	06	06	6.1	6.1	6.050±0.092	0.0577	P=0.1056 (Not Significant)	0.8000
	Strepto. mutans	05	05	05	5.1	5.025±0.080	0.0500	P=0.2254 (Not Significant)	0.6000
	Staph aureus	13	13.1	13.2	13.2	13.10±0.130	0.0816	P=0.0561 (Not Significant)	0.8909
Ajuga bracteosa stem	Strepto. sobrinus	08	9.1	9.1	9.1	9.075±0.080	0.0500	P=0.2254 (Not Significant)	0.6000
	Lactococcus acidophilus	09	08	8.1	8.1	8.025±0.080	0.0500	P=0.1056 (Not Significant)	0.8000
	Aeromonas spp.	10	10.1	10.2	10.2	10.13±0.157	0.0957	P=0.0561 (Not Significant)	0.8909
	Strepto. mutans	16	16.2	16.3	16.6	16.28±0.400	0.2500	P=0.9627 (Significant)	0.9627
Curcuma longa	Staph aureus	15	15.1	15.1	15.3	15.13±0.210	0.1258	P=0.8526 (Not Significant)	0.8526
	Strepto. sobrinus	10	10	10	10.1	10.03±0.085	0.0500	P=0.06000 (Not Significant)	0.6000
	Lactococcus acidophilus	09	09	9.1	09	9.025±0.080	0.500	P=0.06667 (Not Significant)	0.6667
	Aeromonas spp.	12	12.1	12.2	12.2	12.13±0.160	0.0957	P=0.8909 (Not Significant)	0.8909

In our study *S. aureus* was the predominant isolated pathogen, this result agreed with the finding conducted in Yemen by Hassan A et al., (2018) who found the dominance of this pathogen with a percentage of 43.1%. The high frequency of *S. aureus* in mouth infections can be explained by the fact found by Dinges MM et al., (2000) that *S. aureus* often colonizes the mucous membrane of the nose, where it can cause endogenous oral cavity infections.

The study observed that all the selected antibiotic show action against the isolates except Oxacillin (no activity

against *K. pneumonia*, *S. pyogenes* and vancomycin (no activity against *K. pneumonia*). Our finding does not match with Okopi et al. (2015) finding that dental caries pathogens showed resistance to ciprofloxacin, ampicillin, erythromycin and amoxicillin. This report also does not agree with the reports of Sweeney et al., (2004) that resistance of oral flora to antibiotics is an international problem and may be due to excessive abuse of antibiotics. Maripandi et al. (2011) also observed the resistance pattern and found that oral pathogen resistant to penicillin, bacitracin, streptomycin, vancomycin and

chloramphenicol. Boyanova et al. (2004) found that 21% of gram-positive anaerobic cocci from dental plaque were resistant to penicillin, and 16% of them were resistant to clindamycin. Brook (2007) detected susceptibility of Veillonella species (from dental plaque) to beta-lactam but resistance to tetracycline and erythromycin while Campylobacter gracilis showed 100% sensitivity to erythromycin, but 50% to clindamycin, cephalothin and piperacillin. This study also reported the efficiency of antibiotics against oral pathogens and found that among the selected eight antibiotics used the most effective zones of inhibition were formed by sulfamethoxazole (25µg) against all pathogenic bacteria so it can be a choice of antibiotic to treat dental caries.

This study reported that undiluted toothpaste concentration gave the highest zone of inhibition and these inhibition zones tend to decrease down the concentration gradient. Other researchers found the same result that apart from the addition of antimicrobial agents in a toothpaste, the agent concentration is also paramount to achieve the most wanted outcome of reducing oral microbes (Sadeghi and Assar, 2009; Tatikonda et al, 2014; Odeleye, F. O et al., 2018).

The use of plants and plant derivatives which are known to possess preventive and therapeutic effects could contribute to oral health (Subramaniam P. et al., 2012).

This study found that extract of *Ajuga bracteosa* produced maximum zone against *K. pneumonia* while the minimum zone of inhibition was against *S. pyogenes* while extract of *C. longa* produced a maximum zone of inhibition against *K. pneumonia*. In contrast, the minimum activity was observed against *P. vulgaris*. Shah et al., conducted a study in 2012 to investigate medicinal plant extract activity on bacterial pathogens and found that the ethanol extract of *A. bracteosa* showed no significant activity against *S. epidermidis*, moderate against *E. coli*, while no activity was shown against other bacterial species in his study. In contrast, ethanol extract of *C. longa* showed significant activity against *S. epidermidis* and *B. Subtilis*, low for *S. aureus*, *K. pneumonia* and no activity against *E. coli* (Shah, Z., et al., 2012).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are highly thankful to the Chancellor of Abasyn University, Mr. Muhammad Imran Ullah and the Director of Abasyn University Mr. Muhammad Irfan Ullah for providing that good research facility and economic hold up for research project.

## REFERENCE

1. Abdulhaq N, Nawaz., Zahoor MA., Siddique AB. (2020). Association of biofilm formation with multi drug resistance in clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Excli*, 19(1), 201-208.
2. Ahmed MA. (2001). The clinical effectiveness of treatment of chronic periodontitis. BDS. Thesis. University of Baghdad.
3. Al-Haroni, M, Skaug N. (2007). Incidence of antibiotic prescribing in dental practice in Norway and its contribution to national consumption. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 59(6), 1161-1166.
4. Balachandran M, Cross KL, Podar M. Single-Cell Genomics and the Oral Microbiome. *Journal of Dental Research*. 2020 Feb 24:0022034520907380.
5. Bowden, GHW. (2000). The microbial ecology of dental caries. *Microbial ecology in health and disease*, 12(3), 138-148.
6. Boyanova L, Djambazov V, GergovaG, Lotov D, Petrov D, Osmanliev D, Minchev Z, et al. (2004) Anaerobic microbiology in 198 cases of pleural empyema: a Bulgarian study. *Anaerobe*. 10:261-267.
7. Brook I. (2009). Anaerobic bacteria as a cause of mycotic aneurysm of the aorta: microbiology and antimicrobial therapy. *Current cardiology reviews*, 5(1), 36.
8. Chandrabhan D, Hemlata R, Renu B, Pradeep V. (2012). Isolation of dental caries bacteria from dental plaque and effect of tooth pastes on acidogenic Bacteria.
9. Chany YC, Huang FM, Tai KW, Chou MY. (2001). The effect of sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine on cultured human periodontal ligament cells. *Oral Surg.Oral Med. Oral Patho*, 92: 446-480.
10. CLSI. Laboratory Testing for the Lupus Anticoagulant; Approved Guideline. CLSI document H60-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2014.
11. David BU, Linda OO, Charles OE. (2011). Isolation, Characterization and Antibiotic Susceptibility Studies of Clinical Isolates of *teptococcusmutans* Obtained from Patients Visiting Major Dental Clinics in Nsukka, Nigeria. *AJPSP* 2(1): 1 15.
12. Dhingra OD, Jham GN, Barcelos RC, Mendonça FA, Ghiviriga I. (2007). Isolation and identification of the principal fungitoxic component of turmeric essential oil. *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, 19(4), 387-391.
13. Gao L, Xu T, Huang G, Jiang S, Gu Y, Chen F. Oral microbiomes: more and more importance in oral cavity and whole body. *Protein & cell*. 2018 May 1;9(5):488-500
14. Gibbons RJ. (1989). Bacterial adhesion to oral tissues: a model for infectious diseases. *J Dent Res* 68:750-760.
15. Gurenlian. (2007). The Role of Dental Plaque Biofilm in Oral Health. *J. Dent. Hyg* 81: 4-12.
16. Ilyas S, Qamar MU, Rasool MH., Abdulhaq, Nawaz Z. (2016). Multidrug resistant pathogens isolated from ready to eat salads available at a local market in Pakistan. *British Food Journal*, 118(8), 2068-2075.
17. Johnson NW. (1991). Introduction: the nature of the caries process and the need for markers of risk. In: *Risk markers for oral diseases*. Vol. I. pp. 1-12.

18. Lai JJ, Bradley G, Robinson C. Comprehensive preventive dentistry. Limeback H, editor. Ames, IA: Wiley-Blackwell; 2012 Apr 11.
19. Liljemark WF, Fenner LJ, Bloomquist CG. (1986). In vivo colonization of salivary pellicle by *Haemophilus*, *Actinomyces* and *Streptococcus* species. *Caries research*, 20(6), 481-497.
20. Loe H. (1967). The gingival index, the plaque index and the retention index systems. *Journal of periodontology*, 38(6), 610-616.
21. Loesche WJ. (1986). Role of *Streptococcus mutans* in human dental decay. *Microbiol. Rev* 50: 353-380.
22. Marsh PD. (1991). Sugar, fluoride, pH and microbial homeostasis in dental plaque. *Proc Finn Dent Soc* 87:515-525.
23. Maripandi A, Kumar AT, Al Salamah, AA. (2011). Prevalence of dental caries bacterial pathogens and evaluation of inhibitory concentration effect on different tooth pastes against *Streptococcus* spp. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 5(14), 1778-1783.
24. McFarland J. (1907). The nephelometer: an instrument for estimating the number of bacteria in suspensions used for calculating the opsonic index and for vaccines. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 49(14), 1176-1178.
25. Mikx FHM, van Campen GJ. (1982). The microbial community on the teeth, with special reference to necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis in dogs. *Eur J Chemo Antib* 2:19-26.
26. Mohapatra SB, Pattnaik M, Ray P. (2012). Microbial Association of dental caries. *Asian J. Exp. Biol. Sci*, 3(2), 360-367.
27. Pandey A, Jagtap JV, Patil AA, Joshi RN, Kuchekar BS. (2010). Formulation and evaluation of antibacterial and anti-fungal activity of a herbal ointment containing *Aloe-vera*, *Azadirachta indica* and *Curcuma-longa*. *Journal of chemical and pharmaceutical research*, 2(3), 182-186.
28. Prasad G., Minakshi. (2007). Normal microbial flora of human body and host parasite relationship. Department of Animal Biotechnology College of Veterinary Sciences CCS Haryana Agricultural University Hisar - 125 004.
29. Roberts A. (2005). Bacteria in the mouth. *Dental update*, 32(3), 134-136.
30. Roth, G. N., Chandra, A., & Nair, M. G. (1998). Novel bioactivities of *Curcuma longa* constituents. *Journal of natural products*, 61(4), 542-545.
31. Saqib MS, Raza A, M, Hussain M, Ali G, Khattak M, Amin M. (2015). In vitro interaction of antimicrobial agents in combination with plant extract against multidrug-resistant bacterial strains. *Malaysian Journal of Microbiology*, 11(3): 300-305.
32. Scheinin A, Makinen KK. (1976). Turku sugar studies. An overview. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, 34(6): 405-408.
33. Srivastava A, Saha S, Sahu C. Early and accurate detection of bacterial isolates from dental plaque in subjects with primary, mixed, and permanent dentition by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry technique. *Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology*. 2020 Mar;24(2):104.
34. Usman H, Haruna AK., Akpulu, IN, Ilyas M, Ahmadu, AA, Musa YM. (2005). Phytochemical and Anti-microbial Screenings of the Leaf Extracts of *Celtis integrifolia* Lam. *J. Trop. Biosci*, 5(2), 72-76.
35. Van der Hoeven JS, Camp PJM. 1991. Synergistic degradation of mucin by *Streptococcus oralis* And *Streptococcus sanguis* in mixed chemostat cultures. *Journal of dental research*, 70:1041-1044.
36. Van der Waaij D, Berghuis de Vries JM, Lekkerkerk van der Wees JEC. (1971). Colonization resistance of the digestive tract in conventional and antibiotic-treated mice. *Journal of Hygiene*, 69(03), 405-411.
37. Vollekov, A, Kostalova D, & Sochorova R. (2001). Isoquinoline alkaloids from *Mahonia aquifolium* stem bark are active against *Malassezia* spp. *Folia microbiologica*, 46(2), 107-111.
38. Zaura E, Mira A. The oral microbiome in an ecological perspective. *Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology*. 2015 Apr 29;5:39

#### AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

**AZ:** Study Concept, design, Manuscript writing

**AJ:** Manuscript writing, Critical revision

**AN:** Concept, design, data collection, Manuscript writing

**MAFZ:** Manuscript drafting, Data analysis

**ZN:** Concept, design, data analysis

**MH:** Data collection

**AA:** Critical revision