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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare External Rotation Method with Milch method for reduction of acute anterior dislocation of shoulder joint.

Methods: It was randomized controlled trial. It was conducted at department of Orthopedic Surgery, Services Hospital Lahore, from May 2016 to December 2016. 110 patients of acute anterior dislocation of shoulder joint were randomly distributed into two groups. Reduction of shoulder joint was achieved with External Rotation Method in Group A while Milch method was employed in Group B and their outcomes were compared. Data was entered and analyzed using SPSS for windows (version 21). P-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Result: Statistical difference between success rate of External Rotation (72.7%) and Milch Method (74.5%), was insignificant (p=0.828)

Conclusion: Though external rotation method is easy to perform, both methods can be used in reduction of acute anterior dislocation of shoulder joint.
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INTRODUCTION

In human body, most commonly dislocated joint is the gleno-humeral joint of shoulder. Of all major joint dislocations, the shoulder is affected in 60% cases, and anterior dislocation occurs in 97 percent of all shoulder joint dislocations. Anterior dislocation of shoulder may occur from direct and indirect trauma by fall on ground and by accidents. It might be associated with injury to the joint capsule, ligaments, rotator cuff, vascular or neurological structures. These injuries and condition of patient may affect the management of shoulder dislocation.

Various shoulder reduction methods are described in the literature. The popular ones include external rotation method (ERM) and Milch method. ERM is painless, reliable, effective, not requiring any anesthesia. Milch method is also painless, safe and reliable method in which position of humerus helps to neutralize deforming muscle forces which helps in reduction. The comparison of both methods in terms of efficacy and reliability has been done...
by only a few studies. The success rate and outcome of both methods were compared in this study.

METHODS

After hospital ethical committee approval and consent from patients/guardian of the patients; the study was conducted at the Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Services Hospital, Lahore, from May 2016 to December 2016, comparing two methods (ERM and the Milch method) for close reduction of acute anterior dislocation of shoulder joint, without anesthesia. We included 55 cases in each group, by non-probability consecutive sampling. Sample size of 110 was calculated taking 80% power of test, significance level as 5%, taking expected percentage of success i.e. 88.46% with external rotation method and 69.23% with Milch method. Demographic profile (name, age, gender and duration of acute dislocation) also obtained. Lottery method was used to randomly divide patients in 2 groups. Patients of either gender who had acute traumatic anterior dislocation of shoulder (<24 hours) for first time, with age between 30 to 60 years, were included in the study. Patients who presented 24 hours after dislocation, with poly trauma (on x-ray), hemodynamically unstable (PT > 20 seconds, INR > 2, Hb <10 mg/dl ), with head splitting of proximal humerus fracture, with Neers 3 or 4 part fracture, with generalized joint laxity (on x-ray), with recurrent trauma (taken treatment within 6 months) were excluded. Patients who had glenoid fracture that involved > 25% open growth plate, were also excluded from study. The procedure was labeled successful when reduction was achieved in first attempt without anesthesia.

In Group A, ERM was applied. Patient was asked to lie down supine on the bed. The involved arm was abducted from the chest wall. After that the elbow was flexed at 90°, flexion of shoulder was done at 20°, patient’s wrist was held with one hand while holding elbow with other hand, arm was rotated externally, to bring forearm in the coronal plane. Tracti

head was felt and pressed laterally and superiorly to achieve reduction.

During the procedure patient was be constantly reassured that no pain would be felt. X-ray was requested to assess the complete reduction. During procedure patient was asked if he/she feels severe pain. If no pain occurred and reduction achieved without anesthesia in first attempt, then success was labeled. All the information was collected through a specially designed proforma (attached).

Data was analyzed using SPSS version 21. Qualitative variables including gender and success rate were expressed in terms of frequencies and percentages while age was expressed as mean and standard deviation. p value was calculated by Chi Square test.

RESULTS

We included 110 patients in this study, 55 in each group. There mean age was 46.56 ± 7.71 years with age ranging from 31 to 60 years as given in Table I. There were 69 (62.7%) male patients and 41 (37.3%) female patients in our cohort. Both groups had no significant statistical difference in terms of age (p=0.99) and gender (p=0.167). History of fall was the most common antecedent event recorded while dislocation on right side was more i.e., 64.5%. Reduction was achieved in 72.7% patients employing external rotation method, while it was 74.5% by Milch method. However, the p value was insignificant i.e., 0.828.

Table 1: Comparison of Age and Sex between two groups of reduction methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>External Rotation</th>
<th>Milch’s Method</th>
<th>p-value (Chi-Square test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>44.55±8.57</td>
<td>48.58±6.19</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31(56.4%)</td>
<td>38(69.1%)</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24(43.6%)</td>
<td>17(30.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>40(72.7%)</td>
<td>41(74.5%)</td>
<td>0.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15(27.3%)</td>
<td>14(24.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

To treat anterior dislocation of shoulder joint, numerous techniques are described in literature. Traction and/or leverage of the humerus is used. These techniques require considerable force and might cause discomfort to patient. More traditional techniques may cause capsular damage, humeral neck and shaft fractures or axillary nerve injury,
CONCLUSION
ERM and Milch method have no statistically significant difference in success rate.
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